• Supplementary Methods: Search strategy

Search strategy_MEDLINE format

- 1 exp Food Hypersensitivity/
- 2 exp Milk Hypersensitivity/
- 3 exp Egg Hypersensitivity/
- 4 exp Peanut Hypersensitivity/
- 5 exp Tree nut Hypersensitivity/
- 6 exp Nut Hypersensitivity/
- ((food or Oral Allergy Syndrome or milk or egg or peanut or arachis hypogaea or tree nut or hazelnut or brazil nut or walnut or chestnut or pistachio or almond or legumes or wheat or rice or soy or fish or seafood or shellfish or shrimp or lobster or crab or crawfish or kiwi or apple or peach or apricot or cherry or pear or plum or tomato or green pea or potato or carrot or parsley or celery or additives) adj3 (allerg* or hypersensitivit*)).mp.
- 8 Allergic reaction to food.mp.
- 9 exp Food allergy/
- 10 exp Anaphylaxis/ or severe food allergy.mp.
- Systemic anaphylaxis/ or exp anaphylaxis/ or skin anaphylaxis/ or anaphylaxis.mp. or passive skin anaphylaxis/
- 12 Serious food allergy.mp.
- allergic reaction*.mp.
- 14 Fatal allergic reaction*.mp.
- 15 (Near-fatal allergic reaction* or near fatal allergic reaction*).mp.
- 16 (Anaphylaxis and (adrenaline or epinephrine)).mp.
- 17 or/1-16

- 18 (Definition* or code or classif* or ICD).mp.
- 19 17 and 18
- 20 Animals/ not Humans/
- 21 19 not 20
- (advertisements or animation or architectural drawings or bibliography or biography or book illustrations or bookplates or charts or comment or letter or editorial or news or patient education handout or published erratum or retraction of publication).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]
- 23 21 not 22

• Supplementary Tables

Table S1. The List of experts contacted

No	Author	Title	Contact person/ emails
1	Asai et al.	Asai Y, Yanishevsky Y, Clarke A, et al. Rate, Triggers, Severity and Management of	Dr. Moshe Ben-Shoshan
		Anaphylaxis in Adults Treated in a Canadian Emergency Department. Int Arch Allergy	moshebenshoshan@gmail.com
		Immunol 2014;164:246–252	
2	Choi et al.	Choi B, Kim SH, Lee H. Are Registration of Disease Codes for Adult Anaphylaxis Accurate	Dr Sun Hyu Kim
		in the Emergency Department? Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2018;10(2):137-143	stachy1@paran.com
3	Corriger et al.	Corriger J, Beaudouin E, Rothmann, Penven E, Haumonte Q, Thomas H, et al.	Dr. Jeremy Corriger
		Epidemiological Data on Anaphylaxis in French Emergency Departments. J Investig	jeremy.corriger@hotmail.fr
		Allergol Clin Immunol 2019;29(5): 357-364.	Dr. Luciana Kase Tanno
			luciana.tanno@gmail.com
4	Huang et al.	Huang F, Chawla K, Järvinen KM, NowakWeęgrzyn A. Anaphylaxis in a New York City	Prof Anna Nowak-Węgrzyn
		pediatric emergency department: triggers, treatments, and outcomes. J Allergy Clin	anna.nowak-wegrzyn@mssm.edu
		Immunol. 2012;129(1): 162–168.e3.	
5	Kimchi et al.	Kimchi N, Clarke A, Moisan J, et al. Anaphylaxis cases presenting to primary care	Dr. Moshe Ben-Shoshan
		paramedics in Quebec. Immunity, Inflammation and Disease 2015; 3(4): 406–410	moshebenshoshan@gmail.com
6	Mehl et al.	Mehl A, Wahn U, Niggemann B. Anaphylactic reactions in children – a questionnaire-	Prof Bodo Niggemann
		based survey in Germany. Allergy 2005: 60: 1440–1445	bodo.niggemann@charite.de
7	Moro-Moro et al.	Moro-Moro M, Alonso MAT, Hernández JE, Garcia MVM, Ingelmo AR, Albelda CV.	Dr. Miguel A Tejedor Alonso
		Incidence of Anaphylaxis and Subtypes of Anaphylaxis in a General Hospital Emergency	m914674227@telefonica.net
		Department. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2011;21(2):142-149.	matejedor@fhalcorcon.es
8	Nieto-Nieto et al.	Nieto-Nieto A, Tejedor-Alonso MA, Farias-Aquino E, Moro-Moro M,Rosado Ingelmo A,	Dr. Miguel A Tejedor Alonso
		Gonzalez-Moreno A. Clinical profile of patients with severe anaphylaxis hospitalized in	m914674227@telefonica.net
			matejedor@fhalcorcon.es

		the Spanish hospital system: 1997-2011. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2017;	
		27(2):111-126.	
9	Oropeza et al.	Oropeza AR, Lassen A, Halken S, Bindslev-Jensen C, Mortz CG. Anaphylaxis in an	Dr. Ruiz Oropeza
		emergency care setting: a one year prospective study in children and adults. Emergency	athamaica.ruiz.oropeza@rsyd.dk
		Medicine 2017:25:111	
10	Piromrat et al.	Piromrat K, Chinratanapisit S, Trathong S. Anaphylaxis in an emergency department: a 2-	Dr. Kanika Piromrat
		year study in a tertiary-care hospital. Asian Pacific Journal of Allergy and Immunology	drkanika2004@yahoo.com
		2008;26:121-128.	
11	Santaella et al.	Santaella ML, Cox PR, Ramos C, Dosdier OM. Anaphylaxis: an analysis of cases evaluated	Dr. Maria L Santaella
		at the Puerto Rico Medical Center over a ten-year period. Anaphylaxis in Puerto Rico	lousant@prtc.net
		PRHSJ 2006;25(2):143-147.	
12	Tanno L et al.	Tanno L, Molinari N, Bruelet S, et al. Field-testing the new anaphylaxis' classification for	Dr Luciana Tanno
		the WHO International Classification of Diseases-11 revision. Allergy 2017; 72: 820–826.	luciana.tanno@gmail.com
13	Tejedor - Alonso	Alonso MA, Garcia MV, Hernandez JE, Moro MM, Ezquerra PE, Ingelmo AR, Albelda CV.	Dr. Miguel A Tejedor-Alonso
	et al.	Recurrence of anaphylaxis in a Spanish series. Journal of investigational allergology &	m914674227@telefonica.net
		clinical immunology. 2013;23(6):383-391.	matejedor@fhalcorcon.es
14	Topal et al.	Topal E, Bakirtas A, Yilmaz O, et al. Epidemiological and Clinical Features of Anaphylaxis:	Dr Erdem Topal
		Single Center Experience with 109 Children. Pediatric Allergy, Immunology and	erdemtopal44@gmail.com
		Pulmonology 2013;26(2):88-92.	

Table S2. Manuscripts excluded at full-text screening phase and reasons for exclusion

First author	year of publication	reference	REASON FOR EXCLUSION
Asai	2014	Asai Y, Yanishevsky Y, Clarke A, et al. Rate, triggers, severity and management of anaphylaxis in adults treated in a Canadian emergency department. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2014;164(3):246-52.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Barbi	2012	Barbi E, Longo G, Berti I, et al. Adverse effects during specific oral tolerance induction: in-hospital "rush" phase. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;44(1):18-25.	food allergy oral immunotherapy
Branum	2012	Branum AM, Simon AE, Lukacs SL. Among children with food allergy, do sociodemographic factors and healthcare use differ by severity?. Matern Child Health J. 2012;16 Suppl 1(0 1):S44-S50.	self-reported (parental report), not physician-diagnosed food allergy
Brown	2001	Brown AF, McKinnon D, Chu K. Emergency department anaphylaxis: A review of 142 patients in a single year. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001;108(5):861-6.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Burks	2012	Burks AW, Jones SM, Wood RA, Fleischer DM, Sicherer SH, Lindblad RW, et al. Oral immunotherapy for treatment of egg allergy in children. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(3):233-43	food allergy oral immunotherapy
Castells	2017	Castells M. Diagnosis and management of anaphylaxis in precision medicine. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017;140(2):321-333.	severity score referred to drug allergy

Choi	2018	Choi B, Kim SH, Lee H. Are Registration of Disease Codes for Adult Anaphylaxis Accurate in the Emergency Department? Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2018;10(2):137-143.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Choi	2019	Choi B, Kim SH, Lee H. Missed Registration of Disease Codes for Pediatric Anaphylaxis at the Emergency Department. Emerg Med Int. 2019 Aug 14;2019:4198630. doi: 10.1155/2019/4198630.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Cianferoni	2001	Cianferoni A, Novembre E, Mugnaini L, et al. Clinical features of acute anaphylaxis in patients admitted to a university hospital: an 11-year retrospective review (1985-1996). Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2001;87(1):27-32.	no severity score reported
Collignon	2011	Collignon, Monnez JM, Vallois P, et al. Discriminant analyses of peanut allergy severity scores, Journal of Applied Statistics. 2011;38:9, 1783-1799.	wrong study design [discriminant analyses of DBPCFC score to formulate predictive models]
De Schryver	2019	De Schryver S, Mazer B, Clarke AE, et al. Adverse Events in Oral Immunotherapy for the Desensitization of Cow's Milk Allergy in Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(6):1912-1919	food allergy oral immunotherapy
Dibek Misirlioglu	2017	Dibek Misirlioglu E, Vezir E, Toyran M, Capanoglu M, Guvenir H, Civelek E, Kocabas CN. Clinical diagnosis and management of anaphylaxis in infancy. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2017;38(1):38-43.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Dunlop	2018	Dunlop JH, Keet CA, Mudd K, Wood RA. Long-Term Follow-Up After Baked Milk Introduction. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2018:1699-1704.	wrong study design [Long-term follow-up of milk introduction]

Dunn	2018	Dunn Galvin A, Hourihane JO. Psychosocial Mediators	different outcome [review on HR-QoL in Oral Immunotherapy
Galvin		of Change and Patient Selection Factors in Oral Immunotherapy Trials. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2018;55(2):217-236.	trials]
Furlong	2001	Furlong TJ, DeSimone J, Sicherer SH. Peanut and tree nut allergic reactions in restaurants and other food establishments. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:867–870	self - reported diagnosis of food allergy
Gabrielli	2019	Gabrielli S, Clarke A, Morris J, et al. Evaluation of Prehospital Management in a Canadian Emergency Department Anaphylaxis Cohort. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(7):2232-2238.e3.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Gupta	2008	Gupta RS, Kim JS, Barnathan JA, Amsden LB, Tummala LS, Holl JL. Food allergy knowledge, attitudes and beliefs: focus groups of parents, physicians and the general public. <i>BMC Pediatr</i> . 2008;8:36. Published 2008 Sep 19. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-8-36.	self-reported (parental report), not physician-diagnosed food allergy
Gupta	2019	Gupta RS, Warren CM, Smith BM, Jiang J, Blumenstock JA, Davis MM, Schleimer RP, Nadeau KC. Prevalence and Severity of Food Allergies Among US Adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2019 Jan 4;2(1):e185630.	self - reported physician-diagnosis of food allergy and corresponding reaction symptom-report
Huang	2012	Huang F, Chawla K, Järvinen KM, Nowak-Węgrzyn A. Anaphylaxis in a New York City pediatric emergency department: triggers, treatments, and outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;129(1):162-8.e1-3.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Kilger	2015	Kilger M, Range U, Vogelberg C. Acute and preventive management of anaphylaxis in German primary school and kindergarten children. BMC Pediatr. 2015;15:159.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.

Korenblat	1999	Korenblat P, Lundie MJ, Dankner RE, Day JH. A	different outcome [no data referred to food allergy but only to				
		retrospective study of epinephrine administration for anaphylaxis: how many doses are needed? Allergy Asthma Proc. 1999;20(6):383-6.	anaphylactic reactions to inhalant allergen and hymenoptera venom immunotherapy and live hymenoptera stings]				
Nieto		Nieto-Nieto A, Alonso MA, Farias-Aquino E, et al. Clinical Profile of Patients With Severe Anaphylaxis Hospitalized in the Spanish Hospital System: 1997-2011. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2017;27(2):111-126.	different otcome [prediction of severe anaphylaxis induced by different triggers]. No severy symptom score reported.				
Oropeza	2017	Ruiz Oropeza A, Lassen A, Halken S, Bindslev-Jensen C, Mortz CG. Anaphylaxis in an emergency care setting: a one year prospective study in children and adults. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2017;25(1):111.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.				
Parker	1990	Parker SL, Leznoff A, Sussman GL, Tarlo SM, Krondl M. Characteristics of patients with food-related complaints. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1990;86(4 Pt1):503-11.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. No severy symptom score reported.				
Piromrat	2008	iromrat K, Chinratanapisit S, Trathong S. Anaphylaxis in an emergency department: a 2-year study in a tertiary- care hospital. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2008;26(2- 3):121-8	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. The severity grading system is not clearly specified.				
Primeau	2000	Primeau MN, Kagan R, Joseph L, et al. The psychological burden of peanut allergy as perceived by adults with peanut allergy and the parents of peanut-allergic children. Clin Exp Allergy. 2000;30(8):1135-1143.	self-reported (parental report), not physician-diagnosed food allergy				
Pumphrey	2004	Pumphrey R. Anaphylaxis: can we tell who is at risk of a fatal reaction? Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2004; 4:285–90.	different outcome [review on prediction of fatal- anaphylaxis; no food allergy severity score reported]				

Samady	2020	Samady W, Warren C, Wang J, Das R, Gupta R. Egg Allergy in US Children. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020 May 3.	multiple publication
Santaella	2006	Santaella ML, Cox PR, Ramos C, Disdier OM. Anaphylaxis: an analysis of cases evaluated at the Puerto Rico Medical Center over a ten-year period. P R Health Sci J. 2006;25(2):143-7.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Sicherer	2001	Sicherer SH. The impact of childhood food allergy on quality of life. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2001;87(6):461-464.	self-reported (parental report), not physician-diagnosed food allergy
Tanno	2017	Tanno LK, Chalmers RJ, Calderon MA, Aymé S, Demoly P; on behalf the Joint Allergy Academies. Reaching multidisciplinary consensus on classification of anaphylaxis for the eleventh revision of the World Health Organization's (WHO) International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11). Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12(1):53.	different outcome [validation of ICD-11 codes for Anaphylaxis]
Tanno	2017	Tanno LK, Molinari N, Bruel S, Bourrain JL, Calderon MA, Aubas P, Demoly P; Joint Allergy Academies. Field-testing the new anaphylaxis' classification for the WHO International Classification of Diseases-11 revision. Allergy. 2017;72(5):820-826.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Unclear reporting of grading system.
Topal	2019	Topal E, Bakirtas A, Yilmaz O, et al. Epidemiological and Clinical Features of Anaphylaxis: Single Center Experience with 109 Children. Pediat Aller Imm Pul.Jun 2013.88-92.	data referred to allergic reactions due to different allergenic triggers. Data on food-induced allergic reactions can not be extrapolated and have not been provided by authors after requests. Previously reported grading system.
Turner	2019	Turner PJ, Worm M, Ansotegui IJ, et al; WAO Anaphylaxis Committee. Time to revisit the definition and clinical criteria for anaphylaxis? World Allergy Organ J. 2019;12(10):100066. doi: 10.1016/j.waojou.2019.100066	Severity score is missing. Proposal for a new definition of anaphylaxis

Wang	2020	Wang HT, Warren CM, Gupta RS, Davis CM. Prevalence and Characteristics of Shellfish Allergy in the Pediatric Population of the United States. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020 Apr;8(4):1359-1370.e2.	multiple publications
Warren	2020	Warren CM, Aktas ON, Gupta RS, Davis CM. Prevalence and characteristics of adult shellfish allergy in the United States. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019; 144(5):1435-1438.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2019.07.031.	multiple publications

Table S3. Detailed characteristics of primary studies assessing symptom-specific severity of food allergy (n=23)

Study (First					agnosis od aller						
author, year of publication, country)	Study Design	Setting	Inclusion / exclusion criteria	positive slgE	positive SPT	positive OFC	Population	Outcome	Severity score used*	Results	Lesson learned
Amin, 2012, USA	restrospec tive cohort	Tertiary center for allergic children	Pediatric cases from administrative routinely collected datasets in 2003 or 2008 were retrospectively included if the medical notes recorded a Dx of cow's milk and/or egg and/or fish and/or sesame and/or sesame and/or shellfish and/or soy and/or tree nut, and/or wheat allergy. Pts satisfying the criteria in both 2003 and 2008 were only recorded in the 2003 database.	+	+	NR	2003/2008: No. of included FA cases: 148 / 379 Distinct pts, no. (%): 57 (3%) / 191 (8%) Male, no. (%): 106 (72%) / 242 (64%) Age at Dx (mean ± SD): 3.05 (±2.75) / 2.79 (±2.87) yrs Average slgE at Dx, kU/L: 51.95 / 40.32 (p=0.002) Average SPT wheal, mm: 21 / 10 Average SPT flare, mm: 20 / 22	• To compare prevalence & characteristics of FA in children referred to a tertiary care center in 2003 vs 2008	Amin, 2012	2003/2008 • FREQUENCY (from electronic medical chart) of ARs Total no. of classified cases: 98 / 311 ✓ Mild ARs, no. (%): 66 (67%) / 187 (60%) ✓ Moderate ARs, no. (%): 22 (23%) / 58 (19%) ✓ Severe ARs no. (%): 10 (10%) / 66 (21%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: NR EPINEPHRINE USE: NR • No. OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NR • No. OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: NR • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: Symptoms Total no. of classified cases: 98 / 311 ✓ Mucosal, no. (%): 10 (10%) / 62(20%) ✓ GI, no. (%): 8 (8%) / 64 (21%)	Authors observed an increase in the severity of ARs over a 5-year period accompanied by a decrease in the level of slgE, which may be related to changing ethnical demographics

Astier,	Case-	NR	NR	+	+	+/-	• 30 pts allergic to peanuts	To assess the	Astier, 2006	✓ Respiratory, no. (%): 25 (26%) / 58 (19%) ✓ Vascular, no. (%): 2 (2%) / 16 (5%) ✓ Hives or angioedema, no. (%): 27 (28%) / 153 (49%) ◆ At DBPCFC in 17	Authors observed
2006, France & USA	control					DBP	✓ Male, no.: 18 ✓ Age, mean±SD: 9.2±0.8 yrs (range, 3-20 yrs) • 15 nonatopic subjects • 15 pts allergic to birch pollen without FA.	performance for diagnostics and severity prediction of the 3 major recombinant peanut allergens [rAra h 1, rAra h 2, and rAra h 3]		peanut allergic pts: ✓ Pts with grade 1 AR, no. (%): 6 (35%) ✓ Pts with grade 2 AR, no. (%): 3 (18%) ✓ Pts with grade 3 AR, no. (%): 5 (18%) ✓ Pts with grade 4 AR, no. (%): 3 (29%) • Self-reported accidental AR in 19 peanut allergic pts: ✓ Pts with grade 1 AR, no. (%): 3 (16%) ✓ Pts with grade 2 AR, no. (%): 6 (32%) ✓ Pts with grade 3 AR, no. (%): 3 (16%) Pts with grade 4 AR, no. (%): 7 (37%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: NR EPINEPHRINE USE: NR • No. OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NR • No. OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: NR • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES:	that sensitization established either by SPT or sIgE to rAra h 1 and/or rAra h 2 is associated with more severe AR than monosensitization to rAra h 2.

Bernard, 2003, France, USA	Retrospec tive cohort	Tertiary center for allergic children	Several groups of children were selected. We focus on GROUP A = Hx strongly suggestive of peanut allergy (with \$ occurring in the 30 min after one single ingestion of peanut) and +ve SPTs (unclear if consecutively recruited).	+	+	+/-	 58 peanut allergic children met inclusion criteria for Group A. Detailed demographic characteristic are missing for GROUP A only. 	To characterize the IgE response to a whole peanut protein extract, Ara h 1 and Ara h 2 in different groups of patients classified according to the severity of their AR	Sicherer, 1999	 ✓ Neither SPT size nor levels of sIgE were correlated with AR severity. ✓ However, pts with monosensitization to rAra h 2 had a significantly lower severity score than polysensitized subjects (p<.02) and a lower level of sIgE against peanut extract and rAra h 2. ◆ FREQUENCY (at OFC) ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: n=16 (27.6%) ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs: n=16 (27.6%) ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: n=26 (44.8%) ◆ RECURRENCY OF AR: NR ◆ No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ED: NR ◆ No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ICU: NR ◆ OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: 	Authors suggested that level of peanut-sigE could be used to avoid an OFC in the case of severe reactions. When compared to Ara h 1 and Ara h 2, whole peanut protein extract appeared to be the most appropriate allergen to perform the test.
										No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ICU: NR OTHER STATISTICAL	appropriate allergen to perform

Boyano- Martìnez, 2009, Spain	Cross- sectional	Tertiary center for allergic children	All children 18 months or older who were given a Dx of IgE-mediated cow's milk FA in the clinical center and on a milk- and milk derivatives—free diet who presented for a regular clinic visit over a 16- month-long period were included. A questionnaire about possible ARs experienced in the last year was administered by the	+	+	+/-	 88 children allergic to milk (44 male; median age, 32.5 months) were recruited. COMORBIDITIES: 40 (46%) children had atopic dermatitis, 29 (33%) had asthma and 50 (57%) had other FA (egg, 47; seeds, 11; legumes, 8; and fish, 5). 	• to calculate the frequency and severity of accidental exposure AR in children allergic to cow's milk during a 12-month period • to identify risk factors for severe ARs.	Boyano- Martìnez, 2009	Median 7.5; 0.1; 1.35 ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs (n=16): Mean 84; 12.1; 43 Max 800; 120; 370 Min 0; 0; 0 Median 7.5; 0; 4.6 ✓ Pts with Severe ARs (n=26) Mean 179; 29; 122 Max 710; 140; 375 Min 1.8; 0; 0.3 Median 126; 12.5; 72 slgE to Whole peanut proteins, Ara h 1 & Ara h 2 were each significantly higher in moderate or severe AR group vs mild AR (not in mild vs moderate AR) • RECURRENCY OF AR: 35 (40%) children reported 53 AR in the previous year: 21 children experienced only 1 AR; 12 pts 2 ARs, and 2 experienced 3 and 5 ARs, respectively. ✓ No. Mild ARs: n=28 (53%) ✓ No. Moderate ARs: n=17 (32%) ✓ No. Severe ARs: n=8 (15%) • FREQUENCY ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: n=17 (49%)	ARs to accidental exposure are frequent in children with milk allergy. The proportion of severe ARs was 15%. The risk factors for such ARs included very high levels of slgE to milk and casein and asthma
-------------------------------------	---------------------	--	---	---	---	-----	---	--	------------------------------	---	--

	✓ Pts with Modera	te
	ARs: n=12 (34%)	
	✓ Pts with Severe	ARs:
	n=6 (17%)	
	• TREATMENT: 30	
	(57%) ARs requir	ed
	pharmacologic	
	treatment: antiH	1 in
	27 (51%), system	ic
	steroids in 6 (119	6),
	epinephrine in 4	(8%),
	and bronchodila	
	agents in 6 (11%).
	• No. OF PTs ADM	TTED
	<u>TO ED</u> : 11 pts	
	• No. OF PTs ADM	TTED
	<u>TO ICU:</u> 1	
	OTHER STATISTIC	CAL
	ANALYSES:	
	✓ Median sIgE lev	els to
	cow's	milk:
	significantly high	
	children with s	
	ARs than in thos	
	moderate ARs	
	vs 7.71 KUA/L,	
	and mild ARs	
	KUA/L, P .009)	
	ARs in the last	
	(3.89 KUA/L, P 5	
	✓ Analysis of da	
	sigE levels to	
	showed a s	imilar
	association.	
	✓ Asthma:	The
	frequency of s	
	ARs compared	
	moderate, mild,	
	ARs was 10-fold	
	in asthmatic ch	ildren

experienced in the		✓ Pts with Mild ARs: n=7
last year was		(37%)
administered by the		✓ Pts with Moderate
physician.		ARs: n=10 (53%)
		✓ Pts with Severe ARs:
		n=2 (10%)
		• TREATMENT: 9 (37%)
		ARs required
		pharmacologic
		treatment: antiH1 in 8
		(33%), systemic
		steroids in 4 (17%),
		epinephrine in 0 pt. In
		1 case this
		information was not
		known.
		• No. OF PTs ADMITTED
		<u>TO ED</u> : 1 pt
		No. OF PTs ADMITTED
		<u>TO ICU:</u> 0
		OTHER STATISTICAL
		ANALYSES:
		✓ the risk of moderate or
		severe ARs was higher
		in children with lower
		total IgE titers (P<.05),
		children with higher
		titers of egg white
		slgE, and younger
		children (differences
		near statistical
		significance).
		✓ These results were
		similar when the risk
		of suffering moderate
		or severe ARs was
		compared with that of
		suffering mild or no
		ARs.

	1		1				T			/the frequency of AD	
										✓ the frequency of ARs	
										was higher in children	
										with higher titers of	
										egg white slgE	
										(adjusted OR, 1.15;	
										95% CI, 1.03-1.28;	
										P=.008) and in children	
										with lower total serum	
										IgE titers (adjusted OR,	
										0.16; 95% CI, 0.05-	
Dunnana	Cara	ED of a	All madiatois sassa	NR	NR	NR	583 cases met inclusion	. T	Dunnan	0.54; P=.001).	A
Braganza,	Case		All pediatric cases	NK	INK	NK		• To assess incidence of	Braganza,	• FREQUENCY of ARs	Authors reported
2006, Australia	series	tertiary referral	from administrative routinely collected				criteria (age range 0-14 yrs, median 4 yrs): mild/moderate	paediatric anaphylaxis at an ED	2006	with a known food	FA as the most frequent trigger for
Australia		children	dataset (Jul 1998-				(n=526) and severe allergic	at all ED		trigger (from electronic medical	moderate and
		hospital	Jun 2001) were				(n=57) reactions triggered by			chart)	severe ARs in
		Hospital	retrospectively				any allergenic source			✓ Mild + moderate ARs:	chidren.
			included if the				any anergenic source			n=54 (62.8%)	ciliuleii.
			medical notes				Detailed demographic			✓ Severe ARs: n=32	
			recorded a Dx of				characteristic are missing for			(38.2%)	
			acute generalized				allergic reactions due to food			• RECURRENCY OF AR:	
			allergic reactions				allergens only.			NR	
			triggered by any				anergens only.				
			allergenic source							EPINEPHRINE USE: NR‡	
			unergerne source							• No OF PTs ADMITTED	
			Previous Dx of food							TO ICU: 0	
			allergy in							• OTHER STATISTICAL	
			specialized centers:							ANALYSES: Food	
			not reported							items [No.,	
										Mild+Moderate /	
										Severe ARs]	
										✓ Egg 11 / 7	
										✓ Dairy 6 / 8	
										✓ Peanut 10/3	
										✓ Other nut 7 / 3	
										✓ Fruit 9 / 0	
										✓ Seafood 5 / 3	
										✓ Composite foods 6	
										· ·	
										/8	

D	C	ED -f -	Cara da su	l ND	ND	N.F.	1	I	D 2004		Authorizona de la
Brown,	Case-	ED of a	Cases from	NR	NR	NR	• 1149 cases met inclusion	• To develop a simple	Brown, 2004	• FREQUENCY	Authors suggested
2004,	series	tertiary	administrative				criteria (age range 0-96 yrs,	grading system and		✓ Pts with Mild ARs:	that the moderate
Australia		referral	routinely collected				median 29 yrs).	definition of		n=96 (46.8%)	and severe grades
		hospital for	dataset (Oct 1990-					anaphylaxis.		✓ Pts with Moderate	may provide a
		hymenoptera	Dec 1999) were				 Food allergens are reported 			ARs: n=93 (45.4%)	workable definition
		venom allergy	retrospectively				as a trigger in 18% (n= 205):	to identify		✓ Pts with Severe	of anaphylaxis.
			included if the				sea food /n=47), nut (n=46),	predictors of reaction		ARs: n=15 (7.3%)	
			medical notes				egg (n=14), monosodium	severity.		 RECURRENCY OF AR: 	
			recorded a Dx of a				glutamate (n=7), kiwi fruit			NR	
			hypersensitivity or				(n=4), others or uncertain			• EPINEPHRINE USE: NR	
			acute generalized				(n=87).			‡	
			allergic reactions							No OF PTs ADMITTED	
			triggered by any				Detailed demographic			TO ICU: NR	
			allergenic source				characteristic are missing for			OTHER STATISTICAL	
							allergic reactions due to food			ANALYSES: NR	
			Previous Dx of food				allergens only.				
			allergy in				,				
			specialized centers:								
			not reported								
Brown,	Case-	8 Australian	Pts with a	NR	NR	NR	• 412 cases in 402 pts met	 to define the clinical 	Brown, 2004	FREQUENCY, TOTAL	Authors suggested
2013,	series	EDs	hypersensitivity or				inclusion criteria (age range 3-	patterns of		No. ARs =131 (food	that multiple
Australia			acute generalized				99 yrs, median, 36 yrs; IQR,	anaphylaxis		only) + 6 (food +	inflammatory
			allergic reactions				24-50 yrs): mild (n=97)			exercise)	pathways drive
			triggered by any				moderate (n=218) and severe	to identify		✓ Mild ARs: n=19	reaction severity
			allergenic source				allergic (n=97) reactions	predictors of reaction		(14.5%) / 1	and support
			were prospectively					severity.		✓ Moderate ARs: n=93	recommendations
			recruited between				triggered by any allergenic	Severity.		(71%) / 3	for safe observation
			Jun 2006 and Feb				source			✓ Severe ARs: n=19	periods after initial
			2009. Serial blood							(14.5%) /2	treatment.
			samples were				 Food allergens are reported 			1 pt died [allergen	
			collected.				as a trigger in 131 cases			source (food?) NR]	
			00.1.001.001				(32%).			• No. of Pt with ARs:	
										NR(data reported	
			Previous Dx of food				 Detailed demographic 			cumulatively for	
			allergy in				characteristic are missing for			different triggers)	
			specialized centers:				allergic reactions due to food			• RECURRENCY OF AR:	
			not reported.				allergens only.			NR	
			not reported.				,				
										• EPINEPHRINE USE: NR	
				j]				†	

Clark, 2004, USA	Retrospec tive cohort	21 EDs in 9 US states and 4 Canadian provinces	All cases from administrative routinely collected dataset (Jan 1999 - Jan 2000) were retrospectively included if the medical notes recorded a Dx of allergic reactions triggered by food Previous Dx of food allergy in specialized centers: not reported	NR	NR	NR	 A random sample of 678 charts (= 678 pts) was included from the 5296 charts identified Age (y), mean ± SD: 29 ± 18 Female [% (95% CI)]: 57 (53-61) White [% (95% CI): 43 (38-47) Medical Hx of known allergy to food that caused current AR [% (95% CI): 41 (37-46) ALLERGIC COMORBIDITIES [% (95% CI): TOTAL No: 27 (24-30); Asthma:19 (16-22); Hay fever: 3 (2-4); Atopic dermatitis: 1 (1-3); Hives 1 (1-2); Angioedema 1 (0-2); Other allergic problems: 6 (4-8) Documentation of specific food in 92% (90-94) of pts) [% (95% CI)]: 	• to describe the management of food-related acute allergic reactions	Clark, 2004	 No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ED: NA No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ICU: NR ‡ OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: Analyses of severe reactions due to any allergic source were associated with older age, pre-existing lung disease, and drug causation. Subanalyses of allergic reactions due to food allergens only are missing. FREQUENCY, TOTAL No. ARS = No. pts: n=678 ✓ Severe ARS (No [% (95% CI)]: 346 [51% (47-55)] ✓ Other ARS [Mild + Moderate ARS (=pts with)]: n=332 (49%) REATMENT: Pts with severe ARS were more likely than those with less severe ARS to receive treatment with systemic steroids in the ED (54% vs 42%; P = .002), but this did not differ according to management with respiratory medications (36% vs 29%; P = .07). Only 24% of pts with severe ARS were treated with epinephrine in the ED. 	In order to improve the concordance of the current approach to ARs in ED to guidelines, authors suggested to support a new collaboration between professional organizations in allergy and emergency medicine by developing educational programs and materials for ED patients and staff.
---------------------	-----------------------------	---	--	----	----	----	--	---	-------------	---	---

Corriger, 2019, France	Case- series	7 EDs in the Lorraine (northeast of	Cases from administrative routinely collected	NR	NR	NR	Crustaceans 19 (16-22); Peanuts 12 (9-14); Fruits and vegetables 12 (10-15); Fish 10 (8-12); Tree nuts 9 (7-11); Milk 6 (4-8); Eggs 2 (1-4); Additives 1 (0.5-2); Other foods 36 (33-40) 323 cases (=pts) met inclusion criteria 67.2% adults (aged 18 to 88.4 yrs) and 32.8%	To conduct the 1 st multicenter epidemiological study	Ring, 1999	No. ADMISSION TO ICU: NR OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: NR FREQUENCY (from electronic medical chart) of ARs [total no.	Authors highlighted a urgent need for improved public
		France) urban region	dataset (Jan-Dec 2015) were retrospectively included if the medical notes recorded a Dx of allergic reactions triggered by any allergenic source Previous Dx of food allergy in specialized centers: not reported				children (age 2 mos to 18 yrs). 137 ARs in 137 pts. Culprit Food [children/adults/total, No.(%)]: peanut and nuts 29 (27.3%) / 9 (4.1%) / 38 (11.8%); Hen egg 6 (5.7%) / 1 (0.5%) / 7 (2.1%); Cow milk 9 (8.5%) / 0 (0.0%) / 9 (2.8%); Fish and meat 4 (3.8%) / 5 (2.3%) / 9 (2.8%); Shellfish 2 (1.9%) / 17 (7.8%) / 19 (5.9%); Other or unidentified 32(30.2%) / 23 (10.6%) / 55 (17.0%). Detailed demographic characteristic are missing for allergic reactions due to food allergens only.	in French emergency EDs in order to support ongoing national and international efforts for better Dx, management, and prevention of anaphylaxis		of pts with ARS=137], ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: n=24/137 (17.52%) ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs: n=47/137 (34.31%) ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: n=66/137 (48.17%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: Biphasic ARs n=6/137 (4.38%); Previous history of anaphylaxis n=44/137 (32.12%) • EPINEPHRINE USE: n=17/137 (12.41%) • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL (not ED): 26/137 (18.98%) • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: 1/137 (0.73%) • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: NR‡	health initiatives with respect to recognition and treatment of anaphylaxis.
Ewan, 2001, UK	Cohort	Regional specialist allergy clinic	All consecutive pts with Dx of peanut and/or nut allergy underwent detailed Hx on previous ARs to assess severity score and during	+	-	-	✓ 567 pts were followed prospectively. ✓ Gender (M:F) = 1:1 ✓ Age at median onset of nut allergy 3 yrs (range 4 mos- 55 yrs), median	To assess a management programme providing advice on nut avoidance and emergency medication.	Ewan, 2001	• ARs before management (n=539) / Follow-up ARs (n=567), no. (%): ✓ grade 1 ARs: 130 (24%) / 50 (8.8%)	Authors highlighted that their management plan was effective, and their results indicate that

_			
	follow-up with	age at presentation 7.5 yrs	✓ grade 2 ARs: 56 patients should be
	annual assessment.	(range 7 mos-65	(10.4%)/ 4 (0.7%) referred to
	Pts, parents, school	yrs). 181 (32%) were under 5	✓ grade 3 ARs: 91 specialist allergy
	staff received	yrs old, 193 (34%) were 5–11	(16.9%)/ 8 (1.4%) centres for advice
	verbal and written	yrs, 57 (10%) were	✓ grade 4 ARs: 191 on nut avoidance.
	advice on nut	12–17 yrs, and 136 (24%) were	(35.4%) / 23 (4.1%)
	avoidance and	older than 17 yrs.	✓ grade 5 ARs: 71
	action plan for		(13.2%)/3 (0.5%)
	management of any	√351 (62%) pts were allergic to	88 (15%) of 567 pts had
	AR	one nut only and 171	
		(30%) to more than one nut.	a ARs during follow-up
		351 (62%) were allergic to	(13 of 610 pt-months,
		peanuts, 85 (15%) to brazil	median 21). Most pts
		nuts, 35 (6%) to hazeInut, 22	with a moderate-severe
		(4%) to both almond and	index AR had a less
		walnut, 4 to pistachio, 2 to	severe follow-up
		macadamia, and 1 to pine	reaction (p=0·017)
		nuts. 130 (37%) of those	• TREATMENT: 26 pts
		allergic to peanuts were also	had moderate to
		allergic to a tree nut.	severe ARs (grade 4–
			5) during follow-up.
		√154 (21%) of 721 pts were lost	Pts in this group were
		to follow-up. These	older (median 18
		pts were similar to patients in	years vs 9 years;
		the study group with respect	p<0·05). For moderate
		to age at onset, age when	ARs, 5 of 23 needed
		seen at our hospital, severity	oral antihistamines at
		of index reaction, nut type, sex	most. 12 of 23 used
		ratio, and age distribution.	an epinephrine
			inhaler, which was
			always successful. For
			moderate to severe
			ARs, 9 of 26 received
			an epinephrine
			injection.
			No. OF PTs ADMITTED
			TO ED: NR
			No. OF PTs ADMITTED
			TO ICU: NR

				OTHER STATISTICAL
				ANALYSES:
				✓ ARS BEFORE
				MANAGEMENT: The
				number of pts who
				had severe index ARs
				was 71 (13.2%) of 567.
				The median age of
				onset was 2 years for
				mild ARs and 11 years
				for moderate to
				severe ARs (p<0·005).
				✓ FOLLOW-UP ARS:
				Most ARs were a
				result of snacks. The
				more severe ARs
				tended to occur
				during mealtimes
				(85% of ARs in
				restaurants were
				moderate to severe).
				The severity of the
				follow-up AR was
				related to the amount
				of nut ingested
				(p=0·012). Most pts
				reacted to a nut
				known to have caused
				a previous AR. 5% of
				pts developed an
				allergy to a nut that
				was previously
				tolerated. The
				prevalence of asthma
				in the series was 63%
				and 70% in pts who
				had a follow-up AR.
		 •	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Hourihane,	Cross-	A validated [¢]	Replies from a	+/-	+/- ¢	+/- ¢	622 (out of 833 having filled	• To determine the	Hourihane,19	FREQUENCY (self-	Authors observed
1997, UK	sectional	diagnosis -	questionnaire self-	φ	'/- +	'/- '	questionnaires) subjects	patterns of clinical	97	reported ^(a)	that SPT and peanut
1557, 01	Sectional	questionnaire	reported by 833				(adult and children) who were	severity, \$ progression	37	Comparison of first	sigE levels do not
		self-reported	peanut allergic				judged to be genuinely allergic	and management of		· ·	predict clinical
		by peanut	subjects were				to peanuts by the	ARs in a large group of		and most recent	severity
		allergic	reviewed by a				questionnaire	peanut allergic		reactions in 527	
		subjects	single, experienced				4	subjects.		subjects (data missing	
		recruited all	clinician. Only							on 10 subjects, 85	
		over UK	questionnaires							subjects one reaction	
		evaluating the	(n=622), reporting							only):	
		first and the	typical symptoms							Mild /mild no.=44;	
		last AR to	and features of							mild/moderate: 29;	
		peanut.	peanut allergy,							mild/severe: no.=34;	
			were considered to							moderate /mild	
		Mixed	have been							no.=27;	
		recruiting:	submitted by							moderate/moderate	
		Subjects	people who were							no.=111;	
		comprised (a)	genuinely allergic to							· ·	
		a panel of 80	peanuts and							moderate/severe	
		local people	included in the							no.=59; severe/mild	
		(mainly	study.							no.=21;	
		children)								severe/moderate	
		known to								no.=29; severe/severe	
		have peanut								no.=173	
		allergy and								The most severe \$	
		known to								(collapse and cyanosis)	
		allergists								were reported by only	
		together with								42 subjects (7%) on	
		other people								first exposure and 46	
		referred by								(7%) subjects on most	
		general								recent AR.	
		practitioners for evaluation								• RECURRENCY OF AR:	
		of suspected								Excluding 85 subjects	
		or proved								who had only 1 AR to	
		peanut								peanuts, 33 (6%) had	
		allergy; (b)								an AR to peanuts in	
		people								the previous month;	
		referred								51 (9%) had not had a	
		Teleffed								AR to peanut for more	
										than 5 years	

through the		• EPINEPHRINE USE: 78	3
Anaphylaxis		pts (15%) received o	r
Campaign (a		self-administered	
national		injected adrenaline to	
charity); and		treat the most recen	
(c) people		AR. These subjects had	
who		varying combinations	
contacted the		of minor and major \$	
study		Adrenaline was	
coordinator		administered to 60 o	
after having seen details of		247 subjects with	
the study in		wheeze (24%), 28 o	
national		54 subjects with	
newspapers		cyanosis (52%) and 18	
and		of 43 (41%) of subject:	
magazines.		with collapse. Of the	
		78 adrenaline	
		injections	
		administered 68 (82%	1
		were given within 1 h	
		and 72 (92%) within	
		2h.	'
		• No OF PTs ADMITTED	,
		TO ED: 232 pts (37%	
		had never attended	l l
		hospital because of AF	
		to peanuts and only 82	
		(35% of hospita	
		attenders, 13% o	
		entire group) had	
		been admitted	
		overnight.	
		• <u>No OF PTs ADMITTED</u>	
		<u>TO ICU:</u> 2 (0.3%).	
		• Severe ARs on mos	
		recent AR correlated	
		strongly with both	
		hospital admission (x	4

									=2.03, P<0.01, OR= 3.7) and the administration of injected adrenaline (x² = 21.36. P<0.0001, OR 2.87). • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: ✓ AGE: Mild ARs were more common in children and severe ARs more common in adults (Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA, P = 0.0002)	
Hourihane, 2005, UK	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional questionnaire assessment of community- based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut- allergic volunteers from local adult and paediatric allergy clinics and national advertisemen ts.	The inclusion criteria were: 6-66 yrs of age; a convincing Hx of an AR to peanut in the last 3 yrs before OFC and +ve SPT; consent to DBPCFC	+	+	 40 challenged volunteers allergic to peanut (out of those 151 who agreed to complete questionnaires only) ✓ GENDER [Child; Adult; Total, M:F] 8:15; 7:10; 15:25 ✓ Children, no.=23 ✓ Asthma [Child; Adult; Total, No.]: 13; 10; 23 ✓ Eczema [Child; Adult; Total, No.]: 12; 9; 21 ✓ Rhinitis [Child; Adult; Total, No.]: 11; 10; 21 23 patients were asthmatic reported allergies to other foods 	To explore the relationship of a subject's Hx of past ARs to the severity of AR elicited by a low-dose, DBPCFC with peanut.	Hourihane,20 05	At DBPCFC in 40 peanut allergic pts: ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: n=9 (22.5%) ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs: n=22 (55%) ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: n=4 (10%) ✓ 5 pts had no ARS RECURRENCY OF AR: Self-reported previous accidental AR in 40 peanut allergic pts: n=3 (range 1–20). EPINEPHRINE USE: 8 pts (21%) had used their autoinjectors in previous ARs experienced in the community. No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL: 11 pts (27%) had attended hospital after their	Authors proposed to combine dosage and symptom grades to give an overall score for each community reaction and the reaction elicited by low-dose DBPCFC

e- Cross- es sectional questionnaire assessment of community- based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut- allergic	Pts (up to 18 yrs of age) with convincing Hx of FA and consent to OFC to the culprit food. EXCLUSION CRITERIA: pts older than 19 yrs of age, pts who had been administered placebo	+	+	+	• 5062 pts (median age, 3.8 years; males, 65.2%) were included and performed OFC. Reasons for OFC: 777 (15.3%) were for confirming Dx; 2408 (47.6%) for confirming tolerance, 1501 (29.7%) for determining safe intake quantity, and 376 (7.4%) for assessing threshold	To explore the relationship of a subject's Hx of past ARs to the severity of AR elicited by a lowdose, DBPCFC with peanut.	Itazawa, 2020	most severe ARs to peanut • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: ✓ There was no difference in the challenge score between age groups, gender and asthma status using the Mann—Whitney U-test ✓ There was no apparent correlation between the mean diameter of peanut SPT weal and PsIgE concentration. Within the paediatric population, there was a weak association between PsIgE and SPT size (Spearman's rank correlation ✓ R=0.40, P=0.04, n=23). • FREQUENCY: At OFC 2258 (44.6%) pts presented ARs: ✓ Pts with grade 1 ARs: n=991 (43.9%) ✓ Pts with grade 2 ARs: n=736 (32.6%) ✓ Pts with grade 3 ARs: n=340 (15.1%) ✓ Pts with grade 4-5 ARs: n=190 (8.5%)	Authors suggested that prevalence, severity, and treatment of AR differ depending on the indication for OFC.
self-selected peanut-	pts who had been administered				(29.7%) for determining safe intake quantity, and 376			n=340 (15.1%) ✓ Pts with grade 4-5	
	ses sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and	ses sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and assessment of convincing Hx of FA and consent to OFC to the culprit food. EXCLUSION CRITERIA: pts older than 19 yrs of age, pts who had been administered placebo Food or had undergone food-exercise challenges,	ses sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and sessessment of convincing Hx of FA and consent to OFC to the culprit food. EXCLUSION CRITERIA: pts older than 19 yrs of age, pts who had been administered placebo Food or had undergone foodexercise challenges,	sectional questionnaire assessment of community- based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut- allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and	ses sectional questionnaire assessment of community- to the culprit food. based ARs EXCLUSION and low-dose DBPCFC in than 19 yrs of age, self-selected peanut- administered allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and sessessment of convincing Hx of FA and consent to OFC to the culprit food. EXCLUSION CRITERIA: pts older than 19 yrs of age, pts who had been administered placebo volunteers food or had from 142 local adult and exercise challenges,	sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and sessessine to sessessing threshold questionnaire convincing Hx of FA and consent to OFC to the culprit food. EXCLUSION confirming Dx; 2408 (47.6%) for confirming Dx; 2408 (47.6%) for confirming tolerance, 1501 (29.7%) for determining safe intake quantity, and 376 (7.4%) for assessing threshold level for OIT.	sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and exercise challenges,	sectional questionnaire assessment of community-based ARs and low-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut-allergic volunteers from 142 local adult and exercise challenges,	peanut OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: There was no difference in the challenge score between age groups, gender and ashtma status using the Mann-Whitney U-test There was no apparent correlation between age groups, gender and ashtma status using the Mann-Whitney U-test There was no apparent correlation between PsigE and SPT size (Spearman's rank correlation. Within the paediatric population, there was a weak association between PsigE and SPT size (Spearman's rank correlation SPT size (Spearman's rank correlation FROUNCY At OFC 2258 (44.6%) pts males, 65.2%) were included and performed OFC. Reasons for OFC. T7 (15.3%) were for confirming to present ARs: mallow-dose DBPCFC in self-selected peanut- allergic volunteers from 142 local dufut and generation To explore the relationship of a subject's Hx of past ARs to the severity of AR elicited by a low- dose, DBPCFC with panut. **Pts with grade 1 ARs: n=30 (15.1%) Pts with grade 2 ARs: n=30 (15.1%) Pts with grade 4-5 ARs: n=19 (8.5%) Pts with grade 4-5 ARs: n=19 (0.6%) Pts with grade 4-5 ARs: n=19 (0.6%) Pts with grade 4-5 ARs: n=10 (0.6%)

allergy clinics	questionnaire		Positive OFCs were
and national	information.		seen in 2258 (44.6%)
advertisemen			pts with the following
ts between			prevalence by food
Mar 2012 and			type: hen's egg, 43.5%
May 2013.			(n = 1074); cow's milk,
			54.6% (n = 605); and
			wheat, 55.0% (n =
			330)
			• <u>RECURRENCY OF AR</u> :
			NR
			• EPINEPHRINE USE:
			✓ Pts with grade 1 ARs:
			n=0
			✓ Pts with grade 2 ARs:
			n=30
			✓ Pts with grade 3 ARs:
			n=45
			✓ Pts with grade 4-5
			ARs: n=84
			✓ Pts with grade 4-5
			ARs: n=1
			• <u>No. OF PTs ADMITTED</u>
			<u>TO ICU</u> : NR
			• <u>OTHER STATISTICAL</u>
			ANALYSES:
			✓ The severity of ARs
			differed significantly
			depending on the type
			of indication for OFC.
			Adjusted standardized
			residuals
			demonstrated that the
			prevalence of grade 1
			severity was highest
			for the indications to
			confirm Dx and
			tolerance, and the
			prevalence of grade 3

										and grade 4-5 severity was highest for assessing threshold level for OIT. ✓ In addition, the use of epinephrine was higher for the indication to determine safe intake quantity than for the other indications.	
Macdougall, 2002, UK	Cohort	Primary, secondary, tertiary care, population- based the offices of national statistics	The following databases searched: notifications of death from the offices of National statistics, The British Pediatric Surveillance Unit (BPSU); Database of allergy death held by Dr. R Pumphrey; Anaphylaxis Campaign, Personal letters to 10 pediatric allergy specialists; Asthma and Allergy Information Research; Daily Telegraph and The Times stored on CD-ROM; pediatricians	-	-	-	A retrospective search for fatalities in children 0-15 yrs between 1990-1998, primarily of death certification at offices of national statistics. A prospective survey of fatal and severe reactions from March 1998 to February 2000, primarily through BPSU.	The incidence of severe and fatal allergic reactions to food	Macdougall, 2002	• FREQUENCY: ✓ Deaths: 0.006 deaths per children 0-15 year-old per year ✓ Severe ARs: 49 pts (10 caused by peanut) ✓ Near fatal ARs: 6 pts (requiring intubation)	If 5% of children have food allergy, the risk that a food allergic child will die from a food induced allergic reaction is about 1 in 800 000 per year. Asthma may be a risk factor for these ARs
Moro-Moro, 2011, Spain	Retrospec tive cohort	different clinical settings of the catchment area of Hospital	Cases of anaphylaxis were retrieved from the databases of ED medical notes recorded a Dx of	NR	NR	NR	213 anaphylaxis cases (age range 0 to > 69 yrs) met inclusion criteria. Food was as a trigger in 28.6% cases (62 pts).	Incidence of anaphylaxis and subtypes of anaphylaxis in an ED	Brown 2004	• FREQUENCY: 62 pts had moderate-severe ARs ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: NA ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs: 53 (85.48%)	From 213 anaphylaxis cases food was as a trigger in 28.6% cases

Universitario Fundación Alcorcón (HUFA), Alcorcón, Spain	anaphylaxis or any acute generalized allergic reactions triggered by any allergenic source Previous Dx of food allergy in				Detailed demographic characteristic are missing for allergic reactions due to food allergens only.			 ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: 9 (14.52) ◆ RECURRENCY OF AR: NR ◆ EPINEPHRINE USE: NR ◆ No OF PTs ADMITTED TO ED: NR 	
Primeau, 2000, control recruitment through reviewing charts of pts referred to the allergy clinics of the Montreal Children's hospital or the Montreal General Hospital between January 1993 and December 1997; consecutive peanutallergic pts presenting to the allergy clinics; advertising through a lay educational/s upport organisation; newspaper	specialized centers: not reported Peanut-allergic children and adults compared vs children and adults with rheumatological disease	NR	NR	NR	153 peanut-allergic (PA) children were compared with 69 children with a rheumatological disease (RD); 37 PA adults with 42 adults with RD ALLERGIC COMORBIDITIES: Eczema: PA children =57%; PA adults = 35%; allergic rhinitis: PA children =36%; PA adults=68%; other food allergies: PA children =359; PA adults=86%	To compare the QoL and family relationships of children and adults with PA to that of children and adults with RD	Primeau, 2000	• FREQUENCY (patient/parent self-report on the severity of the first AR) ✓ Pts with Mild ARs: PA children 38%; PA adults=52% ✓ Pts with Moderate ARs: PA children= 51%; PA adults=48% ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: PA children = 11%; PA adults=0% • RECURRENCY OF AR: NR • EPINEPHRINE USE: NR • NO OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NR • NO OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: NR	The psychological burden of PA as perceived by adults with PA and the parents of PA children shows the need to support these families.

		advertisemen	1				Ī	<u> </u>	T		<u> </u>
		ts.									
Tejedor- Alonso, 2013, Spain	Retrospec tive cohort	different clinical settings of the catchment area of Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón (HUFA), Alcorcón, Spain	Cases of anaphylaxis were retrieved between 1998 and 2005 from the databases of ED, hospitalized pts, and primary care centers if the medical notes recorded a Dx of anaphylaxis or any acute generalized allergic reactions triggered by any allergenic source Dx of food allergy was confirmed by experienced allergist physicians	NR	NR	NR	1512 pts of all ages (mean, IQR] age, 34.9 [12-49] yrs; 57.8% women) experienced anaphylaxis due to different triggers. 337 pts out of 1512 had food induced anaphylaxis. Detailed demographic characteristic are missing for allergic reactions due to food allergens only.	To evaluate the incidence of first recurrence of anaphylaxis and examine the risk factors associated with recurrence.	Brown 2004 (definition of anaphylaxis : Sampson 2006)	• FREQUENCY: Total number: 880 ARs out of_2510 cases of anaphylaxis due to different triggers ✓ Mild ARs, no. (%): 0 (0%) ✓ Moderate ARs, no. (%): 801 (91.02%) ✓ Severe ARs, no. (%): 79 (8.98%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: 18 pts had recurrent ARs (31.58%) • EPINEPHRINE USE: 44 cases • No. OF PTs ADMITTED TO ICU: NR	
Van Erp, 2013, The Netherlands	Retrospec tive cohort	Tertiary hospital	Cases were collected retrospectively from Electronic Patient Records of DBPCFC, conducted in the Wilhelmina Children's Hospital during 3-yr period	+	+	+ DBP CFC	225 DBPCFCs for peanut; median age (IQR), in yrs) – 6.7 (5.0-9.5); male 148 (66%) In 109 (48%) children, positive DBPCFC ALLERGIC COMORBIDITIES: Allergic rhinitis= 96 (43%); Asthma using ICS=83 (37%); Asthma using inhalants=102 (45%); Other food allergy=151 (67%).	To determine predictors for positive and severe OFC outcome	Sampson, 2003	• INCIDENCE [DBPCFC outcome] No. of pts (=No. ARS) with positive OFC: 109 (48%) ✓ Pts with Mild/ Moderate ARs: n=85 ✓ Pts with Severe ARs: n=24 • RECURRENCY OF AR: Pts who reported previous severe AR: ✓ among those with positive DBPCFC: n=24 ✓ among those with severe ARs at DBPCFC: n=6	The level of slgE, male gender and having another food allergy were independently related to positive OFC. The final model showed good discrimination of children with positive and negative OFC with AUC of 0.89 (0.84-0.93), but calibration was poor. None of the studied risk factors could

										• EPINEPHRINE USE (IM or IV) during DBPCFC in 16 pts (15%) with: ✓ Mild/moderate AR: n=1 (1%); ✓ Severe AR (15 (63%) • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NR • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: NR • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: DISCIMINATION: ROC area (95% CI)= for adjusted OR for positive OFC (95% CI)=0.89 (0.84-0.93) CALIBRATION: Hosmer-Leme show (chi-squared)	predict a severe AR during DBPCFC.
2014, The	Retrospec tive cohort	An academic hospital	All pts who completed DBPCFC (n=191) for peanut performed between 2008-2010 in an academic hospital in the Netherlands	+	NR	+	Children (n=191) aged between 3.4-18.6, mean 7.8 yrs old; male 132 (70%); peanut sigE, median (IQR) in kU/I – 2.60 (0.60-18.80)	To assess inter and intra-observer variability in interpretation of clinical symptoms during DBPCFC	Sampson, 2003	Leme show (chi-squared statistic) for adjusted OR for positive OFC (95% CI)=34.99 (df=8), p<0.005 • FREQUENCY [DBPCFC outcome] No. of pts (=No. ARS) with positive OFC [No. (%)]: 88 (46%) • Grade 1: 2 (1%) • Grade 2: 51 (27%) • Grade 4: 20 (11%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: NR • EPINEPHRINE USE: NR • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NR	There are considerable amount of variability in reassessment of \$ recorded during DBPCFC sheets between and within well trained clinicians, particularly when subjective \$ occur

Vetander,	Retrospec	Secondary	Cases from medical	-	-	-	Children with no ED revisits	To evaluate the	Vetander,	No OF PTs ADMITTED TO ICU: NR OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: NR FREQUENCY:	The severity of the
2014, Sweden	tive	care; Paediatric hospitals	records of all 358 children with ED visits due to allergic reactions to food at three paediatric hospitals in Stockholm County, Sweden between 1 January 2007 and 30 June 2010. Previous Dx of food allergy in specialized centers: not reported				(n=278; mean age=5.7 (SD=5.5); girls 129 (46%); Children with ED revisits (n=80; mean age=6.1 (SD=4.9); girls 40 (50%). ALLERGIC COMORBIDITIES: Asthma 37 (46%); eczema 33 (41%); allergy to inhalant allergens 36 (45%); previously known food allergy – 1 food allergy – 25 (31%); 2 and more food allergies 49 (61%)	incidence and potential risk factors for repeated ED visits for food-allergic reactions among children with a prior ED visit due to reactions to food	2011 [Vetander M, et al. Classification of anaphylaxis and utility of the EAACI Taskforce position paper on Anaphylaxis in Children. Pediatric Allergy Immunology 2011; 22: 369–373]	incidence rate of ED revisits of 9 per 100 patient-years. Children with no ED revisits (n = 278)/Children with ED revisits (n = 80), index AR/ Children with ED revisits (n = 80), ARs at ED revisit_[No. of children (%)] ✓ Mild- Moderate ARs: 79 (28%) / 27 (34%) / 16 (20%) ✓ Severe ARs: 12 (4%) 6 (8%) 4 (5%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: ARs at revisit (%): 21% severe ARs; 38% less severe; 41% comparable severity. • N° ARS TO THE CULPRIT FOOD: Any tree nut-peanuts: 28 (38%); egg 10 (13%); milk 10 (13%); other foods 15 (19%); unknown 17 (21%) • EPINEPHRINE USE: 23 (40%) had adrenaline at the time point for the ED revisit	ARs at the ED revisit could not be predicted by the severity of the index AR (unpredictability of food-induced allergic reactions). Previousl y known FA and prior prescription of adrenaline are significant risk factors for ED revisits among children with a prior ED visit due to AR.

		No OF PTs ADMITTED
		TO ICU: NR
		OTHER STATISTICAL
		ANALYSES:
		✓ Known FA before the
		index-visit in 2007 was
		identified as a risk
		factor for ED revisits
		(RR = 2.30, 95% CI
		1.35–3.94) with a
		tendency towards
		higher risk among
		children with two or
		more food allergies.
		✓ Prescription of
		adrenaline
		autoinjector before
		the index-AR also
		emerged as a risk
		factor for ED revisits
		(RR = 2.02, 95% CI
		1.17–3.49). Additional
		adjustment for
		asthma and allergy to
		inhalant allergens did
		not change the
		results.
		✓ Allergy to inhalant
		allergens before the
		index-reaction
		showed a tendency
		towards increased risk
		of ED revisits (RR =
		1.73, 95% CI 0.97–
		3.10).
		✓ Having a more severe
		index-reaction
		seemed to be
		Seemed to be

EPINEPHRINE USE [No. (%): ✓ Among pts with +ve	Virkud, 2019, USA	Retrospec tive cohort	Secondary- tertiary care	Cases from Massachusetts General Hospital allergy practices who underwent OFC for suspected almond allergy	+	+	+	590 pediatric and adult pts, aged 1 to 66 years who had been referred for OFC (open) for suspected almond allergy from 2009 to 2018. Female 249 (42.3%); Race: white 461 (83.2%); black or African-american 7 (1.3%); Asian 57 (10.3%); Hispaniclatino 26 (5.8%)	To evaluate almond oral challenge outcomes and assess the predictive value of clinical testing	AR grading system: Niggemann 2016 Anaphylaxis definition: Sampson 2006		Almond-specific IgE level, SPT weal diameter and age at challenge combined resulted in good predictive value for grade 2/3 ARs by receiver-operating characteristic analysis (AUC – area under curve, 0.83). Anaphylaxis is possible with high almond sensitisation.
---	----------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

										 ✓ Among pts with grade 2/3 ARs (n=21): n= 2 (10%); ✓ Anaphylactic AR (n=3): 2 (67%) • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ED: NA • No OF PTS ADMITTED TO ICU: 0 • OTHER STATISTICAL ANALYSES: Grade 2/3 ARs: SPT+IgE+Age: AUC 0.83 	
Ye, 2015, Korea	Retrospec tive cohort	Secondary care	Cases from medical records on adult pts diagnosed with anaphylaxis due to different allergenic triggers in 15 University Hospitals of South Korea. Dx of food allergy in specialized centers: not reported	-	-	-	A total of 1,806 cases (52% male, age 16-86 yrs) with Dx of anaphylaxis between 2007 and 2011 in 15 University Hospitals of South Korea. Anaphylaxis to food 430 pts (24.2%); seafood 145 (8.2%); wheat 147 (8.3%); nuts 29 (1.6%); meats 56 (3.2%); vegetables 58 (3.3%)	To investigate the causes and clinical features of anaphylaxis; predictor factors of the severity and serious outcomes of anaphylaxis in Korean adults	Brown, 2004	• FREQUENCY: ✓ Mild AR (n=72): seafood 28 (8.2%): wheat 16 (4.7%); nuts 3 (0.9%); meats 7 (2.1%); vegetables 13 (3.8%) ✓ Moderate AR (n=176): seafood 61 (8.9%): wheat 60 (8.7%); nuts 12 (1.7%); meats 24 (3.5%); vegetables 21 (3.0%) ✓ Severe AR (n=182): seafood 56 (7.5%): wheat 71 (9.5%); nuts 14 (1.9%); meats 25 (3.4%); vegetables 24 (3.2%) • RECURRENCY OF AR: NR ‡ • EPINEPHRINE USE: NR ‡	Food was not a predictors of serious outcomes, including prolongation of admission, or new admission, for anaphylaxis OR (95% CI) 0.978 (0.571-1.678), P<0.937. However, wheat consumption was one of the predictors of severe anaphylaxis (2.425; 95% CI 1.054-5.581), P<0.037.

		No OF PTs ADMITTED
		<u>TO ED</u> : NR ‡
		No OF PTs ADMITTED
		TO ICU: NR ‡
		OTHER STATISTICAL
		ANALYSES: NR ‡

List of abbreviations: AR, food induced - allergic reaction; CI, confidential interval; DBPCFC, double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge; Dx, diagnosis; ED, emergency department; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; EPR, Electronic Patient Records; FA, food allergy; FPIES, food protein-induced enterocolitis; s Hx, clinical history; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; NR, not reported; OFC, oral food challenge; PA, peanut allergy; RD, rheumatological disease; slgE, specific Immunoglobulin E; SPT, skin prick test; \$, symptom(s); +ve, positive.; -ve, negative.

‡ data reported cumulatively for different triggers.

φ [Hourihane, 1997] A subgroup of 69 adults underwent SPT and OFC. This group revealed a 13% false positive rate (9 out of 69) for questionnaire-based diagnosis of peanut allergy in adults. Therefore, questionnaire had been validated with a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 87% for detecting peanut allergy compared with the gold standard of DBPCFC.

^{*}Detailed characteristics of symptom severity scoring systems are described in **Table 1**.

Table S4. Detailed characteristics of primary studies assessing Food Allergy related-Quality of life measures (n=7)

Study	Study Design /	QoL	Diagnosis	Domains	Population	Outcome	Results	Lesson learned
(First author, year of	Methodology	form			Groups			
publication, country)		5 1 4 11 0 12	5 1 11		N 50 C '''	- 1, , , , , ,	54010.85	
Dantzer, 2018, US	Cross-sectional	Food Allergy Quality of Life	Based on the combination	Allergen avoidance ;	N =58 families (group 1: 37,	To determine the impact of tree nut OFCs and	FAQLQ-PF showed no clinically meaningful	Tree nut OFCs may be beneficial in clarifying a
	All participants	Questionnaires	of history, skin	Risk of	group 2: 21)	selective nut avoidance	difference between groups	diagnosis, decreasing
	referred by	Parent Proxy Form	testing, and	accidental	group 2. 21)	on FAQL and risk of	1 and 2.	uncertainty, and expanding
	specialist	(FAQLQ-PF)	IgE results.	exposure		reactions.	FAQLQ-CF showed higher	the diet. If the specific tree
		Child Form	0	(RAE);		Tree nut OFCs were most	scores in group 1 versus	nuts to be challenged are
		(FAQLQ-CF) and		Emotional		often offered to patients	group 2 (minimal clinical	chosen carefully, the
		Teenager Form		impact (EI);		estimated to have a high	important difference	procedure is extremely safe
		(FAQLQ-TF)		Food Anxiety		chance of a successful	(MCID), defined as the	(93% success rate).
				(FA);		outcome.	smallest difference that	Introduction of tree nuts did
				Social &		Group 1 (pts who chose to	patients perceive as	not increase the rate of
				Dietary		undergo select tree nut	beneficial, MCID <0.45)	accidental peanut or tree
				Limitations (SDL)		OFCs) vs Group 2 (pts who chose complete nut	TF opposite results with lower overall, EI, and RAE in	nut reactions
				(SDL)		avoidance).	group 1 versus group 2	The benefits of selected TN
						avoidancej.	(MCID < 0.45)	challenges, especially with
							All respondents answered	regard to FAQOL, appear to
							yes to the question,	outweigh the risks.
							"Overall, has your QoL	_
							improved since the tree nut	Post-challenge data limited
							OFC?"	by short follow-up time and
								small sample size.
DunnGalvin, 2010,	Longitudinal,	Food Allergy Quality	Food	Emotional	Parents	To evaluate longitudinal	Sensitivity and	FAQLQ-PF is responsive to
Ireland and The	psychometric	of Life-Parent Proxy	Challenge	Impact (EI);	(n=84) of	validity, differences	responsiveness	change in a food-allergic
Netherlands	measurement (3	Form (FAQLQ-PF)	outcome.	Food Anxiety	children 0–12	between Group A	demonstrated by significant	patient population with
. To the change	time points	and the Food Allergy	Symptoms	(FA);	years	(positive challenge) and	differences between	disease-specific clinical
	pre/post- OFC)	Independent	reported	Social &	undergoing	Group B (negative	positive and negative	outcomes.
	,	Measure (FAIM)	Reactions	Dietary	OFC	challenge) were expected	groups at 6 months [F =	FAQL improved for both
	All participants		during OFC	Limitations		over time.	6.221, P=0.003].	groups (more improvement
	referred by			(SDL);	Group A	To determine the	Anaphylaxis (OR 2.112) and	for OFC negative group)
	specialist			Expectation of	(positive OFC)	minimally important	severe symptoms (OR	showing that OFC may have
				outcome if	and	difference (MID).	2.221) and number of foods	a therapeutic effect even if
				allergen			avoided (OR 1.396),	OFC positive due to a

				accidentally	Group B		predicted 'no	reduction in uncertainty
				ingested.	(negative		improvement' at endpoint.	(also see comment re 'Van
					OFC)		MID was 0.45 on a seven-	der Velde 2012' paper
							point response scale.	below)
							·	
								More adverse impact on
								FAQLQ according to
								severity, challenge result,
								number of symptoms,
								recent anaphylaxis (<6
								months)
								monthsy
Flokstra-de Blok, 2010,	Cross-sectional	Generic	Physician-	Generic	79 children,	To compare HRQL of food	FAQLQs showed minimal	Disease-specific HRQL
Ireland and The	psychometric	guestionnaires	diagnosed	physical	74	allergic patients as	floor or ceiling effects.	questionnaires are more
Netherlands	study	(CHQ-CF87 and	food allergy.	functioning,	adolescents	measured with generic	Generic- minimal floor	suitable to measure
		RAND-36) and	Severe food	role	and 72 adults	and disease-specific	effects, but very high ceiling	clinically important
		disease-specific	allergy was	functioning-	with food	questionnaires	effects (>73%).	impairments in HRQL or
	The patients were	(FAQLQ-CF, -TF and -	defined as	emotional/be	allergy.	Floor and ceiling effects,	Low percentages of	HRQL differences over time
	recruited at an	AF)	having a	haviour/physi	unergy.	percentage of agreement.	agreement between	in food allergic patients.
	outpatient allergy	711 /	prescription	cal, general		Anaphylaxis (yes/no),	generic and disease-specific	Generic HRQL
	clinic, based on a		for an	behaviour,		symptom groups, and	questionnaires to identify	questionnaires needed for
	convincing history		epinephrine	mental health,		association with FAQLQ	the same food allergic	comparison between
				self-esteem,		also examined	patients with the best or	different diseases.
	of food allergy		auto injector	family		also examined	worst HRQL	different diseases.
	supplemented by		(EAI), or self-	,				
	analysis of specific		reported	activities			FAQLQ-AF scores among	
	IgE to the foods in		previous	/cohesion			patients who reported	
	question.		episodes of	'6'			anaphylactic reactions	
			anaphylaxis	FA-specific			(n = 43) compared to those	
			(i.e. the	Allergen			who did not (n = 36), did not	
			symptoms	avoidance;			reach statistical	
			"difficulty	Risk of			significance, although trend	
			breathing",	accidental			(5.04 vs. 4.63 p = 0.10).	
			"inability to	exposure			When using EQ-5D, no	
			stand",	(RAE);Emotio			differences were found	
			collapse	nal impact				
			and/or loss of	(EI); Dietary			between patients with and	
			consciousness	restrictions			without self-reported	
).				anaphylaxis, (mean EQ-5D	
							index values 0.81 vs. 0.79,	
			Self-report				respectively).	

							Regression coefficients were significant for the OAS and cardiovascular symptoms.	
Goossens, 2011, the Netherlands and US	Cross- sectional/translati on Online questionnaires	FAQLQ-AF (Food allergy quality of life questionnaires-Adult Form) And FAIM (which measures expectation of outcome/perception of severity)	Self-report on list of symptoms		American adults with FA (N=180)	FAQLQ-AF translated from Dutch into English (cross cultural validity)	Good construct validity (correlation with FAIM: r = 0.72; p<0.001), internal consistency (Cronbach's a = 0.95) and discrimination Significantly greater FAQL impairment in US vs Dutch (4.3 vs. 3.5, respectively) p<0.001 Discriminative ability was shown for experiencing anaphylaxis vs. not experiencing anaphylaxis in both American participants (5.4 vs. 4.9; P = 0.03) and Dutch participants (4.68 vs. 3.60; P = 0.01) The total FAIM scores in the American participants were also significantly higher than in Dutch participants (4.4 vs. 3.9; P = 0.00).	HRQL of American food- allergic adults more impaired than Dutch food- allergic adults. Issues such as knowledge, attitudes and beliefs may differ between cultures and could possibly have accounted for the differences in HRQL. Other cultural differences may include eating out practices and amount. Limitations: Biases resulting from the differences in participant recruitment. Four items of the FAQLQ-AF showed an insufficient correlation with the total FAIM score
Tackett, 2018, US	Participants were 183 caregivers (50% mothers) who had a child with physician-diagnosed food allergies	Caregivers completed: a set of online questionnaires about food insecurity (FIS), use of food assistance programs (SNAP, food banks), and history of FIA	Self-report Screened for answer to question – does your child have physician diagnosed FA	Uncertainty or inability to meet family food requirements (FIS) Items of FAIM – chance of accidental ingestion,	FIS/non-FIS caregivers of children with FA (clinician diagnosed)	Scores on FIS, FAIM + history of food-induced anaphylaxis (FIA)	Caregivers classified as FIS reported increased perceived risk (p=0.001) of accidental ingestion (41% versus 17%), severe reaction (45% versus 24%), and death (38% versus 12%). FIS status was associated with child FIA (v2 = 5.54,	Findings may reflect experiences specific to FA, such as the economic burden of obtaining FA-safe foods; access to FA-safe foods; and FA related anxiety. Future research is needed to examine FA risks and the

		+ Food Allergy		adverse			P = 0.02, phi = 0.19; 44%	impact of FIS on nutritional
		Independent		reaction,			versus 24%).	status.
		Measure (FAIM)		dying, and			•	
				managing			FIS associated (p<0.01) with	<u>Limitations</u> all data
				reaction well.			child history of FIA (phi =	collected online self-report
							0.19) utilization of food	and cross sectional.
							assistance programs (52%	Longitudinal needed.
							versus 8%) and food banks	FA not physician-confirmed
							(74% versus 28%).	
Van der Velde, 2012,	Longitudinal,	Food Allergy Quality		Allergen	N=221	To assess the longitudinal	Sensitivity and	Of interest, even a positive
The Netherlands	psychometric	of Life		avoidance ;		validity and	responsiveness	challenge improved QoL in
	measurement (1	Questionnaire-		Risk of		responsiveness of the	demonstrated.	children and adults. It is
	month before and	Adult Form (FAQLQ-		accidental		FAQLQ-AF, FAQLQ-TF, and	HRQL scores improved after	possible that their
	6 months after FC)	AF), the Food Allergy		exposure		FAQLQ-CF and to assess	a DBPCFC, with greater	recognition of what would
	Case/control	Quality of Life		(RAE);Emotio		the impact of a DBPCFC on	improvements in HRQL	happen in the event of an
		Questionnaire-		nal impact		HRQL.	scores after negative OFC	unintentional ingestion
	All participants	Teenager Form		(EI);Dietary			outcome than a positive	removed the uncertainty
	referred by	(FAQLQ-TF), and the		restrictions			OFC outcome.	with which they had lived on
	specialist	Food Allergy Quality					Significant correlations	a daily basis. The same was
		of Life Questionnaire –					were shown between the	not seen in teenagers with a
		Questionnaire – Child Form (FAQLQ-					change (follow-up minus	positive challenge, suggesting that their QoL
		, , ,					baseline) in FAQLQ and	
		CF)					FAIM scores supporting longitudinal validity (r=	concerns lay more with the fact that they have food
							0.35-0.71, p<0.05)	allergy than what would
							0.33-0.71, β<0.03)	happen with ingestion.
								Patients with an uncertain
								history or positive test
								result in the absence of a
								positive history should be
								considered for food
								challenge in a controlled
								setting by those
								experienced with the
								procedure.
Warren, 2015, US	Cross sectional	Family	Food allergy	Total Scores	The 876	The differences in food	Mothers reported greater	Parental empowerment and
		Empowerment and	was	on FAQLQ-PB	children	allergy-related quality of	empowerment (P < .001)	FAQOL vary significantly
	Eligible families	FAQOL Parental	defined by	and Parental	included in	life (FAQOL) and	and lower FAQOL (P < .001)	among mothers and fathers
	were those	Burden scales	stringent	Empowermen	this study	empowerment of	compared with	of children
			criteria,	t		,		

having 1 or 2	including	were enrolled	mothers and fathers of a	fathers, regardless of	with food allergy. Greater
parents with at	reaction	as part of the	large cohort of children	allergen severity, type, or	effects on FAQOL were seen
least 1 biological	history, skin	Chicago	with food allergy	comorbidities. However,	for milk and egg compared
child (21 years	prick testing,	Family Cohort	according to allergen	parental empowerment	with other food allergies.
old) with food	and specific	food allergy	severity, type, or	was not significantly	Although parents of
allergy who were	IgE.	study.	comorbidities.	associated with FAQOL for	children with food allergy
willing to			comorbialities.	mothers or fathers.	might be empowered to
participate.				Although parents of	care for their child, they
Families were				children with peanut, cow	continue to
recruited through				milk, egg, and tree nut	experience impaired FAQOL
general medical				allergies were similarly	owing to fears of allergen
and allergy				empowered, milk and egg	exposure beyond their
specialty clinics,				allergies were associated	control.
community				with lower FAQOL ($P < .01$).	Given the ubiquity of cow's
support groups,				Parental concern in the QOL	milk and egg in the Western
and media				assessment was greatest	diet and frequent confusion
advertisements.				for items involving fear of	between true IgE-mediated
				allergen exposure outside	allergy and food
				the home	intolerance, the avoidance
					of these allergens poses a
					greater challenge to parents
					and is associated with
					decreased parental FAQOL.
					The sample was comprised
					of predominantly white,
					upper-income married
					couples and, as such, might
					not be generalizable to the
					US population. Future
					research needed to
					evaluate FA in more
					ethnically and
					socioeconomically diverse
					groups.
		l			0

List of abbreviations: AA=Allergen avoidance; DBPCFC= double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge; EI= Emotional impact; FA= food allergy; FAQLQ-AF= Food allergy quality of life questionnaires adult form; FAQLQ-CF= Food allergy quality of life questionnaires parent proxy form; FAQLQ-TF= Food allergy quality of life questionnaires teenager form; FAIM= food allergy independent measure; FIA= food-induced anaphylaxis; FIS= food insecurity; HRQL= health-related quality of life; MID= minimally

important difference; NR= not reported; IFQ= Impact on Family Questionnaire; OAS=oral allergic syndrome; OFC= oral food challenge; OR= odds ratio; QoL=quality of life; RAE= Risk of accidental exposure; RR= relative risk; SDL=Social & Dietary Limitations; SNAP= Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program; VAS= visual analogue scale.

Table S5. Detailed characteristics of economic evaluation studies (n=2). (A) Methods of costing studies

Author, Year (country)	Studies that report different grades of food allergy severity (mild, moderate, and severe), and not general food	Study Design	Year(s) of data collecti on	Data sources	Setting	Sample size	Perspective	Categories of cost	Cost measurement methodology	Sources of cost	Currency, price date	Metho ds of sensitiv ity analyse s
Flabbee et al., 2008 (France)	allergy Study based on "402 patients of severe anaphylaxis cases documented by the Allergy Vigilance Network."	Cost study	Januar y 2004 to end of June 2006	Allergy Vigilance Network PMSI Program database (recordin gs of hospital admissio ns)	Hospital and general Practice	402 cases (181 cases in 2004; 108 cases in 2005; 113 cases in 2006)	National costs	Direct medical costs: consultatio ns, use of emergency units, examinatio ns, hospitalizati ons, and drugs. nonmedical costs: transport, special diets, etc. Indirect costs: absenteeis m (3 days)	Each patients report was analysed, and then extrapolated to national annual costs using reported hospital anaphylaxis codes: "T780: anaphylactic shock because of adverse food reaction, T782: anaphylactic shock, not specified, T805: anaphylactic shock because of serum/vaccine/immuni zation, T 886: anaphylactic shock because of adverse drug reactions, T882: anesthetic shock".	Direct costs: public hospital fees and the current fees of General practitione r emergenc y visits. Indirect costs: calculated on basis of Belgian costs, statbel.go v.be	Euro, currency year not indicated.	N/A

Fox et al.,	Those with	Age-	Januar	EuroPrev	General	In stage 2	Health care	Direct costs:	Respondents to the	WHO-	2016,	N/A
2013	food-	specific	y 2007	all	Practitioners'	of the	commission	number of	FA-ECOQ provided	CHOICE	Internatio	14//
(Europe:	specific	Case-	until	centres,	patient lists,	study,	ers.	primary care	information reading	database	nal dollar	
Greece,	immunoglob	control	July	use of	city council	1411	CI3.	visits,	healthcare use, which	for costs of	I\$	
Iceland,	ulin E were	study.	2009	FA-	registration	participa		outpatient	was then multiplied by	reported	(Geary–	
Poland,	defined as	Cases	2003	ECOQ.	databases,	nts, 674		visits, hospital	relevant unit costs.	health	Khamis	
Spain,	having	were		Economi	local	Adults		inpatient	relevant ant costs.	services	dollar)	
Czech	probable	responde		c data:	authority/hos	(aged 20–		stays (number		during the	donar,	
Republic,	allergy.	nts with		collected	pital debases,	54 years)		of days		past year		
France,	unergy.	possible		from 4	and primary	and 737		admitted),		by		
Italy, The	"There is	food		EuroPrev	schools.	parents		use of		participant		
Netherla	evidence	allergy		all	30.100.31	that		ambulance		S.		
nds, and	that the cost	(from		centres		represent		services, and		J.		
UK)	of health	stage 2).		in		ed their		prescribed				
,	services for	Objective		Greece,		children		medications.				
	those with	S:		Iceland,		(aged 7–						
	moderate	Compare		Poland		11 years)						
	food allergy	healthcar		and		participat						
	(category 3)	e costs of		Spain, for		ed.						
	is likely to be	people		cases		In stage 3						
	68% higher	with food		with		of the						
	than for	allergy		possible		study						
	those	compare		food		(cases						
	with the	d to		allergy		only) 271						
	mildest	others		and		participa						
	symptoms	with no		controls.		nts						
	of food	adverse		Data		complete						
	allergy. The	reactions		from		d the FA-						
	cost of	to food.		cases		ECOQ.						
	health	Assess if		with								
	services for	levels of		probable								
	those with	severity		food								
	severe food	(using		allergy								
	allergy	Mueller		were								
	(category 4)	clinical		obtained								
	is predicted	severity		from 7								
	to be twice	grading		EuroPrev								
	that of those	scale: 4		all								
	with mild	category		centres								
		scale -		in,								

fo	ood	Grades 1	Poland,				
al	llergy."	to 4) had	Spain,				
		an	Czech				
		impact	Republic,				
		on costs.	France,				
			Italy, The				
			Netherla				
			nds and				
			UK.				

Abbreviations: CAST= the Cellular Allergen Stimulation Test; EUROPREVALL= The prevalence, cost and basis of food allergy across Europe; FA-ECOQ=the Food Allergy Economic Questionnaire; FRGB001 Allergic test by intradermal injection of several substances administered in increasing concentration with at least 2 dilutions; FRGB002= Allergic test by intradermal injection of a substance administered in increasing concentration; FRGB003= Allergic test by epidermal bite with substances administered at fixed concentration; FRGB004= Allergic skin prick test with native foods; FRGB005= Allergic test by intradermal injection of substances administered at fixed concentration; Fx5= Multitest Fx5 in Food Allergy; WHO-CHOICE= the World Health Organization CHOosing Interventions that are Cost-Effective.

(B): Results of costing studies

Author, Year	Economic costs	Resource consequences	Sensitivity
(country)			analyses

	Costs of medical procedures for management of anaphylax	is:	1		statistics for 2003–2005 accordi		· · · ·	
-	General Practitioner			ICD	Classification	2003	2004	200
labbee et (i., 2008 France)	Mean cost of emergency visit	95.90€		10				
	Hospitalization			code				
	Emergency ambulance brigade called (without later	200.00€		T780	Anaphylactic shock due to	153	212	2:
	hospitalisation)				adverse food reaction			
I., 2008 France)	Visit to emergency unit (<5 h)	462.00€		T782	Anaphylactic shock, not	1426	1708	170
	Visit to emergency unit and hospitalization	1140.00€/day			specified			
	exceeding 5 h			T805	Anaphylactic shock due to	16	15	:
	Emergency ambulance brigade and				serum/vaccine/immunization			
	emergency unit = equivalent costs			T886	Anaphylactic shock due to	46	553	53
	Hospitalization in medical unit	779.00€/day			adverse drug reactions			
	Hospitalization in resuscitation or intensive care unit	2115.00€/day		T882	Anesthetic shock	69	78	g
	Allergy screening							
	Allergy tests							
	Prick-tests (FGRB003 or FGRB004)	28.80€ or						
		31.51€						
	IDR FGRB001, FGRB002 or FGRB005	30.49€ or						
		34.16€						
	Laboratory tests							
	Specfic IgE analysis	14.85€						
	Serum tryptase assay	27.00€						
	Serum histamine	40.50€						
	Fx5	14.85€						
	CAST	56€						
	Basophil activation test	40€						
	Leukocyte histamine release test	56.70€						
	Hospitalization							
	For challenge tests	779.00€/day						
	Emergency kits							
	Adult (Anapen + Ventoline + Solupred oro + Aerius)	96.95€						
	Child (Anapen + Ventoline + Celestene+ Aerius)	58.79€						

annual national cost was 4,789,500€.

N/A

Fox et al.,	The average healthcare costs for adults with possible food allergy was I\$2016 compared with	Adults:	
2013	I\$1089 for controls, a difference of I\$927.	Cases with possible food allergy visited health professionals, on average, 11.17 (SD = 16.14)	
(Europe:	For children the average cost for cases was I\$2197 and for controls it was I\$863, a difference	times per year.	
Greece,	of I\$1334.	Controls visited health professionals on average 7.11(SD = 12.80) per year	
Iceland,	Average yearly cost of health care for 766 cases of possible and probable food allergy in the	Children:	
Poland,	nine participating centres was I\$1778.	Cases visited health professionals 10.75 times per year (SD = 13.23)	
Spain, Czech		Controls visited health professionals 6.56 (SD = 9.78) times per year.	
Republic,	The cost of healthcare services for people with moderate food allergy (category 3) was		
France, Italy,	estimated to be 68% higher than for those with mild symptoms.		
The	The costs for those with severe food allergy (category 4) was predicted to be twice the amount		
Netherlands,	for people with mild food allergy.		
and UK)			

Abbreviations: CAST= the Cellular Allergen Stimulation Test; EUROPREVALL= The prevalence, cost and basis of food allergy across Europe; FA-ECOQ=the Food Allergy Economic Questionnaire; FRGB001 Allergic test by intradermal injection of several substances administered in increasing concentration with at least 2 dilutions; FRGB002= Allergic test by intradermal injection of a substance administered in increasing concentration; FRGB003= Allergic test by epidermal bite with substances administered at fixed concentration; FRGB004= Allergic skin prick test with native foods; FRGB005= Allergic test by intradermal injection of substances administered at fixed concentration; Fx5= Multitest Fx5 in Food Allergy; WHO-CHOICE= the World Health Organization CHOosing Interventions that are Cost-Effective.

Table S6. Critical appraisal of included studies.

(A) Critical appraisal of included primary studies assessing symptom-specific severity of food allergy (n=23) assessed by the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP)

	Design	Sel	lection	Bias	St	udy Des	sign	Co	onfound	ders		Blindin	g		ta Colle Metho		Withdrawals and Dropouts			Global Rating		
		S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W
Amin, 2012, USA	Cohort	✓				✓		✓				NA		✓				NA	l .	✓		
Astier, 2006, France & USA	Case-control			√		√				✓		NA		√				NA				√
Bernard, 2003, France, USA	Cohort			√		✓		✓				NA		√				NA			√	
Boyano-Martinez, 2009, Spain	Cross-sectional	√					√	√				NA		√				NA			√	
Boyano-Martinez, 2012, Spain	Cross-sectional	√					√	✓				NA		✓				NA			✓	
Braganza, 2006, Australia	Case-series			✓	√			✓				NA		✓				NA			√	
Brown, 2004, Australia	Case-series		✓				√	√				NA		√				NA			√	
Brown, 2013, Australia	Case-series			√			√	√				NA		✓				NA				√
Clark, 2004, USA	Cohort	✓				✓		✓				NA		✓				NA		✓		
Corriger, 2019, France	Case-series		✓				√			✓		NA		✓				NA				√
Ewan, 2001, UK	Cohort	✓				✓		✓				NA		✓			✓			√		
Hourihane, 1997, UK	Cross-sectional	√					√			√		NA		√				NA				√
Hourihane, 2005, UK	Cross-sectional	√					√	√			√			✓				NA			√	
Itazawa, 2020, Japan	Case-series			√			√	√				NA		√				NA				√
Macdougall, 2002, UK	Cohort		√			√				√		NA		✓				NA			√	
Moro-Moro, 2011, Spain	Cohort		√			√		√				NA			✓			NA		√		

Primeau, 2000, Canada	Case-control		✓	√	✓			NA	✓			✓		✓	
Tejedor-Alonso, 2013, Spain	Cohort	✓		✓	✓			NA	✓			✓	✓		
Van Erp, 2013, The Netherlands	Cohort	✓		√	√		✓		√			NA	✓		
Van Erp, 2014, The Netherlands	Cohort	✓		✓		√	✓		✓			NA	✓		
Vetander, 2014, Sweden	Cohort		✓	√	✓			NA		✓		NA	✓		
Virkud, 2019, USA	Cohort	✓		✓	✓			NA		✓		NA	✓		
Ye, 2015, Korea	Cohort	✓		✓	✓			NA	✓			NA	✓		

Abbreviations:

EPHPP the Effective Public Health Practice Project

S Strong
M Moderate
W Weak

NA Not applicable NR Not reported

(B) Critical appraisal of included primary studies assessing Food Allergy related-Quality of life measures (n=7) assessed by the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP).

Study, country	Design	Sel	ection	Bias	St	udy Des	ign	Co	onfound	lers		Blinding			a Collec Method		Withdrawals and Dropouts			Global Rating		
		S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	W	S	М	w	S	М	W
Dantzer 2018, USA	Cross- sectional			√			✓		√			NA	•	√				NA				√
DunnGalvin, 2010, International	Cross- sectional	√					✓	✓				NA		✓			√				✓	
Flokstra-de Blok, 2010, International	Cross- sectional	✓					✓	✓				NA		✓			√				✓	
Goossens, 2011, International	Cross- sectional			✓			✓		✓			NA		✓			✓					✓

Tackett, 2018, USA	Cross-		✓		✓		✓	NA	✓			✓			✓
	sectional														
van der Velde, 2012	Cross-	✓			✓	✓		NA	✓		✓			✓	
The Netherlands	sectional														
Warren, 2015, USA	Cross-	✓			✓	✓		NA	✓		✓			✓	
	sectional														

Abbreviations:

EPHPP the Effective Public Health Practice Project

S Strong
M Moderate
W Weak

NA Not applicable NR Not reported

Table S7. Predictors described in included primary studies assessing symptom-specific severity of food allergy

Study			Predict	ors for	severe fo	od-indu	ıced all	ergic re	actions			
Study		Host-r	elated				Food al	lergen -r	elated			
(First author, year of publication, country)	gender	older age	asthma	drugs	recurrence of reaction	severity of previous reaction	type of food	total IgE	sigE	SPT	polisensitization (same source)	Details
Amin, 2012, USA												• type of food: The highest risk of severe ARs as initial AR for: ✓ peanuts (OR=1.76, 95%CI: 0.9–3.45) ✓ shellfish (OR= 1.54, 95%CI: 0.49–5.64) The lowest for: sesame, soy, and wheat
Astier, 2006, France & USA												 slgE (rAra h1, rAra h2, rAra h3): NS SPT (rAra h1, rAra h2, rAra h3): NS Pts monosensitized to rAra h 2 had a significantly lower severity score than polysensitized pts (i.e. rAra h 2 and rAra h 1 and/or rAra h 3)(P < .02)
Bernard, 2003, France, USA												• slgE (to whole peanut proteins, rAra h1, rAra h2): were significantly higher in moderate or severe AR group vs mild AR one
Boyano- Martìnez, 2009, Spain ^α												 sIgE to cow's milk: significantly higher in children with severe ARs than in those with moderate/ mild/ no ARs sIgE to casein: similar association asthma: The frequency of severe ARs compared with moderate, mild, or no ARs was 10-fold higher in asthmatic children (OR, 10.19; 95% CI, 1.13-91.54; P .022).
Boyano- Martìnez, 2012, Spain ^β												 gender: NS severity of the first AR with egg: NS asthma: NS total IgE were significantly lower in pts with moderate/severe ARS (adjusted odds ratio for every 1-unit increase in the decimal logarithm, 0.16; 95% CI, 0.05-0.54; P=.001) sIgE to egg white higher in children with moderate or severe ARS (adjusted odds ratio for every 0.1-unit increase in the decimal logarithm, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.03-1.28; P=.008)

		1		1	1			
								• age: NS
Brown, 2004,								• ACE inhibitor: NS
Australia								• β-blocker: NS
								medication: NS
Ewan, 2001, UK								• age: pts with severe AR (grade 4 and 5) during follow-up were older (median 18 years vs 9 years; p<0.05). The 3 pts with grade 5 AR were aged 27-41 yrs. Similarly, considering the first AR, the median age of onset was 2 yrs for mild ARs and 11 yrs for severe ARs (grade 4-5, p<.005).
Hourihane, 1997, UK								 Age: mild symptoms were more common in children and severe symptoms more common in adults (Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA, P = 0.0002) Asthma: Pts who reported a Hx of asthma were more likely to suffer severe ARs (x2=17.9, P.00013). Wheeze was the most common severe \$, (~ 40% of pts on both first and last ARs). SPT: NS slgE to peanut: NS
Hourihane, 2005, UK								 slgE to peanut and challenge score correlated significantly in the whole group but stronger in adults than in children, despite the median values of peanut slgE being similar. In adults Spearman's r-value increased to 0.766 (P=0.001, compared with children (r=0.49, P=.018). asthma: NS age: NS gender: NS
Macdougall, 2002, UK								asthma: p=0.0002 severity of previous AR: NS
Van Erp, 2013, The Netherlands								 age: NS gender: NS asthma: NS slgE: NS previous AR to peanut: NS
Virkud, 2019, USA	*					 *	*	 slgE, SPT and age at challenge combined demonstrated good predictive value for grade 2/3 allergic reactions by AUC (0.83)
Ye, 2015, Korea								 Type of food: ✓ Wheat can be the only predictor of severe anaphylaxis (OR 2.425, 95% CI 1.054-5.581, p<0.037) ✓ Seafood: NS ✓ Vegetable: NS ✓ Meat: NS

List of abbreviations: AR, food-induced allergic reaction; AUC, area under curve; CI, confidential interval; DBPCFC, double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge; NR, not reported; NS,non-significant association; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; slgE, specific immunoglobulin E; SPT, skin prick test; \$, symptom(s)

List of included primary studies not reporting any predictor for severe food-induced allergic reaction: Braganza, 2006; Brown, 2013‡; Clark 2004; Corriger, 2019‡; Furlong 2001; Itazawa 2020; Moro-Moro, 2011; Primeau, 2000, Sicherer, 1999; Tejedor-Alonso, 2013; Van Erp, 2014; Vetander, 2014; Warren, 2019.

- ‡ data reported cumulatively for different triggers
- * combination of predictors
- ^α A strong association was found between asthma and high sIgE levels to milk and casein. Due to the low sample size it is unclear if asthma is an independent factor for having severe ARs or is just a modifier of the effect of sIgE levels.
- ^β These results were similar when the risk of suffering moderate or severe ARs was compared with that of suffering mild or no ARs.

COLO	OUR LEGEND
	significant risk factor for severe food-induced allergic reaction
	non-significant risk factor for severe food-induced allergic reaction
	protective factor for severe food-induced allergic reaction
	analysis not reported

Table S8: Direct medical costs for severe anaphylaxis management (cost 2020 €) reported in Flabbee et al., 2008⁶⁶

	Medical costs: Cost 2020 €
General Practitioner	
Mean cost of emergency visit	€114.64
Hospitalization	
Emergency ambulance brigade called (without later hospitalisation)	€239.08
Visit to emergency unit (<5h)	€552.27
Visit to emergency unit and hospitalization exceeding 5h	€1,362.75/day
Emergency ambulance brigade and emergency unit: Hospitalization in medical unit	€931.21/day
Emergency ambulance brigade and emergency unit: Hospitalization in resuscitation or intensive care unit	€2,528.25/day
Allergy screening	
Allergy tests	
Prick-tests (FGRB003 or FGRB004)	€34.43 or €37.67
IDR FGRB001, FGRB002 or FGRB005	€36.45 or €40.83
Laboratory tests	
Specfic IgE analysis	€17.75
Serum tryptase assay	€32.28
Serum histamine	€48.41
fx5	€17.75
CAST	€66.94
Basophil activation test	€47.82
Leukocyte histamine release test	€67.78
Hospitalization	
For challenge tests	€931.21/day

Emergency kits	
Adult (Anapen® _{0,3} + Ventoline® + Solupred® oro + Aerius®)	€115.89
Child (Anapen® _{0,15} + Ventoline® + Celestene® + Aerius®)	€70.28